

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,574	02/28/2002	Edward Harrison Teague	020111	9018
23696 7.	590 06/13/2006		EXAMINER	
QUALCOMN 5775 MOREHO	•	KIM, KEVIN		
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			DATE MAILED: 06/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/086,574	TEAGUE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kevin Y. Kim	2611				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,							
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 M</u>	arch 2006.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19,22-26,29 and 32</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-26,29 and 32</u> is/are allowed.						
· ·	Claim(s) <u>19</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alastian raquiroment					
اــا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	relection requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
م)ر م	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			•				
Attachment	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on March 8, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Smolyar et al fails to teach "restricting each finger from tracking outside motion limits for that finger" because, if a finger is moved first and then new blocks are defined, they can move closer than Range to each other. However, the purpose of defining the new blocks is to prevent two adjacent finger from becoming too close. See col. 11, lines 1-2. Furthermore, one the finger block is redefined, each finger is again restricted from tracking outside respective motion limits of 7/8 Tc.

Applicant discusses col.8, lines 36-40 and col.9, lines 54-61 and asserts that the 1.5 Tc is not a minimum separation between fingers. And yet, col. 9, lines 56-61 clearly teaches that each finger is not allowed to move beyond a pre-specified threshold of 7/8 Tc, i.e., "motion limits for that finger."

Since the arguments are not persuasive, the rejection of the claims stands.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action of March 26, 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Smolyar et al (US 6,314,130 previously cited).

Application/Control Number: 10/086,574

Art Unit: 2611

Smolyar et al discloses a method of timing tracking a plurality of fingers in a Rake receiver, comprising

restricting each finger from tracking outside motion limits, see col. 8, lines 36-40, describing "1.5 Tc" as a minimum separation between two fingers, see also col.9, lines 54-61 and col. 11, lines 1-2 and;

regenerating the motion limits for a first finger adjacent to a second finger when a timing tracking command is issued to the second finger. See col. 9, lines 28-30 and col. 10, lines 54-61. See Fig.1 showing direction metrics 1,2 where each finger movement causes the regeneration of the motion limits for its adjacent finger.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 22-26, 29 and 32 are allowed.

Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/086,574

Art Unit: 2611

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Y. Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-3039. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM --5PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on 571-272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

June 8, 2006

PATENT EXAMINER

Ken plun

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4